## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

Gene Hogan,		: :	
	Plaintiff,	: Civil Action No.:	
v.		: :	
First National Collection Bureau, Inc.; and		: :	
DOES 1-10, inclusi	ive,	:	
	Defendants.	:	
		•	

### **COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, Gene Hogan, says by way of Complaint against Defendant, First National Collection Bureau, Inc., as follows:

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 1. This action arises out of Defendants' repeated violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA"), violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act, 47 U.S.C. § 227, et. seq. ("TCPA"), and the invasions of Plaintiff's personal privacy by the Defendants and its agents in their illegal efforts to collect a consumer debt.
- 2. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over all other claims in this action, as all such claims arise out of the same case or controversy as Defendant's violations of the FDCPA pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 3. Venue is proper in the U.S. District Court for the District of New Jersey pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), as Defendant transacts business in the State of New Jersey.

## **PARTIES**

- 4. The Plaintiff, Gene Hogan ("Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Millville, New Jersey, and is a "consumer" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3) and is a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(10).
- 5. Defendant First National Collection Bureau, Inc. ("FNCB"), is a Nevada business entity with an address of 610 Waltham Way, McCarran, Nevada 89434, operating as a collection agency, and is a "debt collector" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6) and is a "person" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(10).
- 6. Does 1-10 (the "Collectors") are individual collectors employed by FNCB and whose identities are currently unknown to the Plaintiff. One or more of the Collectors may be joined as parties once their identities are disclosed through discovery.
  - 7. FNCB at all times acted by and through one or more of the Collectors.

#### ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS

#### A. The Debt

- 8. A financial obligation (the "Debt") was allegedly incurred to an original creditor (the "Creditor").
- 9. The Debt arose from services provided by the Creditor which were primarily for family, personal or household purposes and which meets the definition of a "debt" under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 10. The Debt was purchased, assigned or transferred to FNCB for collection, or FNCB was employed by the Creditor to collect the Debt.
- 11. The Defendants attempted to collect the Debt and, as such, engaged in "communications" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

### **B. FNCB Engages in Harassment and Abusive Tactics**

- 12. Within the last year, FNCB contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect the Debt from a person unknown to Plaintiff (the "Debtor").
- 13. FNCB informed Plaintiff that the call was an attempt to collect the Debt and requested to speak to the Debtor.
- 14. Plaintiff informed FNCB that Plaintiff was not the Debtor and was in no way associated with the Debt or responsible for its repayment.
- 15. Plaintiff advised FNCB that he did not know the Debtor or the Debtor's whereabouts and directed FNCB to remove Plaintiff's number from the account and cease all communication with him.
- 16. Thereafter, despite having been informed of the foregoing, FNCB continued calling Plaintiff in an attempt to collect the Debt with intent to annoy and harass Plaintiff.
- 17. At all times mentioned herein, FNCB called Plaintiff on his cellular telephone using an automatic telephone dialing system ("ATDS") and/or by using a prerecorded or artificial message on a cellular telephone of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii).
- 18. Often times when Plaintiff answered a call from FNCB, he was met with a recording and there was not a person available with whom Plaintiff could speak. Other times, Plaintiff experienced a period of silence and the call would disconnect a moment after.
- 19. Plaintiff never provided his cellular telephone number to FNCB and never provided his consent to FNCB to be contacted on his cellular telephone.
- 20. Plaintiff never provided his cellular telephone number to the Creditor and never provided his consent to the Creditor to be contacted on his cellular telephone.

- 21. If FNCB at one time obtained prior express consent to place calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone number, it no longer had consent to call Plaintiff after being informed by Plaintiff that the Debtor could not be reached at his number and after being instructed by Plaintiff to cease all calls to him.
- 22. The telephone number called by FNCB was assigned to a cellular telephone service for which Plaintiff incurs charges for incoming calls pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1).
- 23. The calls from FNCB to Plaintiff were not placed for "emergency purposes" as defined by 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(i).

## C. Plaintiff Suffered Actual Damages

- 24. The Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer actual damages as a result of the Defendants' unlawful conduct.
- 25. As a direct consequence of the Defendants' acts, practices and conduct, the Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer from humiliation, anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear, frustration and embarrassment.
- 26. The Defendants' conduct was so outrageous in character, and so extreme in degree, as to go beyond all possible bounds of decency, and to be regarded as atrocious, and utterly intolerable in a civilized community.

## **COUNT I**

# VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.

27. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

- 28. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d in that Defendants engaged in behavior the natural consequence of which was to harass, oppress, or abuse the Plaintiff in connection with the collection of a debt.
- 29. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(5) in that Defendants caused a phone to ring repeatedly and engaged the Plaintiff in telephone conversations, with the intent to annoy and harass.
- 30. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692f in that Defendants used unfair and unconscionable means to collect a debt.
- 31. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendants constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA, including every one of the above-cited provisions.
  - 32. The Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of Defendants' violations.

### **COUNT II**

## INVASION OF PRIVACY BY INTRUSION UPON SECLUSION

- 33. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 34. The Restatement of Torts, Second, § 652(b) ascribes liability for intrusion upon seclusion where one individual, "intentionally intrudes...upon the solitude or seclusion of another, or his private affairs or concerns," and further states that "[said individual] is subject to liability to the other for invasion of privacy, if the intrusion would be highly offensive to a reasonable person."
- 35. New Jersey further recognizes Plaintiff's right to be free from invasions of privacy. Thus, the Defendant violated New Jersey state law.
- 36. The Defendants' telephone calls to the Plaintiff were so persistent and repeated with such frequency as to be considered, "hounding [the Plaintiff]," and "a substantial burden to

[his] existence," amounting to an invasion of privacy as defined by the Restatement of Torts, Second, § 652(b).

- 37. The Defendants' conduct of engaging in the foregoing illegal collection activities resulted in multiple invasions of privacy that would be considered highly offensive to a reasonable person.
- 38. As a result of the intrusions and invasions enumerated above, the Plaintiff is entitled to actual damages from the Defendants in an amount to be determined at trial.
- 39. All acts of the Defendants and their agents were committed with malice, intent, wantonness, and recklessness, and as such, the Plaintiff is entitled to punitive damages from the Defendants.

## **COUNT III**

# <u>VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT – 47 U.S.C. § 227, et seq.</u>

- 40. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 41. Without prior consent the Defendants contacted the Plaintiff by means of automatic telephone calls or prerecorded messages at a cellular telephone or pager in violation of 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii).
- 42. Defendants placed automatic telephone calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone knowing that it lacked consent to call his number. As such, each call placed to Plaintiff was made in knowing and/or willful violation of the TCPA, and subject to treble damages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).

- 43. As a result of each call made in negligent violation of the TCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of \$500.00 in statutory damages for each call in violation of the TCPA pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B).
- 44. As a result of each call made in knowing and/or willful violation of the TCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of treble damages in an amount up to \$1,500.00 pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) and 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(C).

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

**WHEREFORE**, the Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against the Defendants:

- Actual damages including, but not limited to, the emotional distress the
   Plaintiff has suffered (and continues to suffer) as a result of the intentional,
   reckless, and/or negligent FDCPA violations and intentional, reckless, and/or
   negligent invasions of privacy pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- 2. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2)(A);
- 3. Costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C.§ 1692k(a)(3);
- 4. Statutory damages pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B) & (C);
- 5. Liquidated damages;
- 6. Punitive damages; and
- 7. Such other and further relief that the Court may deem just and proper.

#### TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: September 6, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

By: <u>/s/ Sofia Balile</u>

Sofia Balile, Esq. Lemberg & Associates LLC 1100 Summer Street Stamford, CT 06905 Phone: (917) 981-0849

Fax: (888) 953-6237